Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/699,855	SHIBUYA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gautam R. Patel	2627
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>First Action</u>	
(1) Gautam R. Patel.	(3)	
(2) Mr. James Love.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 September 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim 21		
Prior art documents discussed: Yang US 5,553,050; Ymammoto US 5,119,355		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER It was poined out by the Examiner that claim 21 is very broad and the application may be allowed. After Mr. Love consulted with the	l several arts read on it as 102 (b)). If claim 21 is to be cancelled
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		li
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)